



UN-REDD  
PROGRAMME



# Chile UN-REDD PROGRAMME

FOURTEENTH POLICY BOARD MEETING  
20-22 May 2015  
Washington, D.C., United States

Osvaldo Quintanilla

Unidad de Cambio Climático y Servicios Ambientales (UCCSA)  
Ingeniero Forestal, Master en Ética y Desarrollo Humano y Diplomado en Gestión Pública  
Gerencia de Desarrollo y Fomento Forestal (GEDEFF)  
Corporación Nacional Forestal (CONAF)  
[osvaldo.quintanilla@conaf.cl](mailto:osvaldo.quintanilla@conaf.cl)



**CONAF**  
Ministerio de  
Agricultura

Gobierno de Chile

# GENERAL CONTEXT



1. Chile has 16.4 mill ha of forests, which represent 22%, of which 13.6 mill are native forest and 2.6 mill ha are exotic plantations.
2. Its forestry development for decades, has allowed a policy, institutionality, academy, and an important industrial sector.
3. Native forests are mainly Mediterranean, Temperate and Southern, with catch rates ranging from 7-17 tonCO<sub>2</sub>eq/ha/year.
4. For 10 years the country experiences a drought centered in the central and southern regions.



# INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT



1. The National Forestry Corporation (CONAF, acronym in Spanish) is the public institution dependent of the Ministry of Agriculture, responsible for to manage the policy and regulations on forest land and Xerophytic Formations.
2. CONAF was nominated in February 2014 as National Focal Point to the REDD, as was requested in the XIX Conference of the Parties. Besides It is Focal Point of the Convention to Combat Desertification. This gives us an excellent opportunity to undertake integral activities.
3. Since 2010 he has actively participated in the FCPF Readiness Fund, which allowed 2014 enter in the Carbon Fund and sign the Letter of Intention.
4. For the development of REDD, it was necessary to design and implement the **National Strategy on Climate Change and Vegetationals Resources (ENCCRV, acronym in Spanish)** to include in their guidelines an appropriate approach to REDD+ in the frame of the national interests and reality.





## *General Feature*

1. Was elaborate in base to REDD+ but since our focus, institutionality and natural resource.
2. Chile is classified as a country with low coverage and low deforestation, because our main problem is the degradation, however, is necessary to analyze the drivers of deforestation locally.
3. The ENCCRV includes the decisions of UNFCCC and the technical components defined by the FCPF for Readiness. Besides, incorporates elements to expand their scope on adaptation, combat degradation and environmental service.
4. It will support national actions for improve policy and instruments, and elements for to the development of a future state mechanism to facilitate access to the benefits associated with environmental services of forest ecosystems.
5. The implementation of the ENCCRV has financing from various sources, FCPF (both funds), NAMA (Switzerland), GEF, IDB, UNEP, UN REDD (TS), as well as private contributions.





## Definition and Structure

***The Strategy aims to support the recovery and protection of native forests and Xerophytic Formations as well as promote the establishment of vegetation formations in plant land, with emphasis on those belonging to small and medium landowners as mitigation and adaptation to the effects of climate change.***

1

Strategic activities to mitigation and adaptation to climate change

2

Carbon accounting system and metrics of environmental services

3

Social and environmental safeguards

4

Requirements of the Conventions, international certification standards of environmental services

5

Diffusion and Socialization

6

Capacity Building

7

Institutional Arrangements





# ENCCRV



## Financing of ENCCRV

| Support                    | USD           | 1. Strategic activities to mitigation and adaptation | 2. Accounting and metrics of environmental services | 3. Social and environmental safeguards | 4. Requirements of the Conventions, international certification | 5. Diffusion | 6. Capacity Building | 7. Institutional Arrangements |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>FCPF Carbon Fund</b>    | \$ 650,000    |  | X   | X                                      | X   |              |                      | X                             |
| <b>FCPF Readiness Fund</b> | \$ 3,800,000  | X  |   | X                                      | X   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>NAMA</b>                | \$ 1,800,000  | X  | X   |  | X   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>GEF LSM Project</b>     | \$ 5,800,000  | X  |   | X                                      |   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>BID</b>                 | \$ 180,000    |  | X   |  |   |              | X                    |                               |
| <b>Chile México Fund</b>   | \$ 246,000    |  |   |  |   | X            | X                    |                               |
| <b>PNUMA</b>               | \$ 50,000     |  |   |  | X   |              |                      |                               |
| <b>Barrick Zaldívar</b>    | \$ 137,500    |  | X   |  |   |              |                      |                               |
| <b>UN REDD (TS)</b>        | \$ 560,000    | X  |   | X                                      | X   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>TOTAL</b>               | \$ 13,223,500 |  |   |  |   |              |                      |                               |





## *Outcome 3.* *Social and environmental safeguards.*

**Implementing a Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (SESA), including gender perspective and commitments under ILO-Convention 169. These activities should provide inputs for the preparation of Safeguards Information System (SIS).**





## Outcome 3. *Social and environmental safeguards.*

### Evaluación Social y Ambiental Estratégica - **SESA**

Participación Pueblos Indígenas y actores interesados      Consulta Indígena

Análisis estándar **REDD+SES** y validación de indicadores

### FORMULACION DE LA ENCCRV

READINESS PROPOSAL  
PROJECT - RPP

### Marco de Gestión Ambiental y Social - **MGAS**

Mecanismo de Resolución de Quejas,  
Sugerencias

Sistema de Distribución de Beneficios

Implementación de indicadores **REDD+ SES**

### IMPLEMENTACION DE LA ENCCRV

R-PACKAGE

SISTEMA DE  
INFORMACION DE  
SALVAGUARDAS - SIS





## *Outcome 2.* *Carbon accounting system and metrics of environmental services.*

**Definition and implementation of reference levels of carbon but also other environmental services nationwide, design and implement a System MRV that would support the generation of inputs to produce reports in forestry (e.g. National Inventory of GHG).**

- 1. Presentation of Reference Levels (FCPF Carbon Fund).**
- 2. Preliminary design of an MRV system (NAMA)**
- 3. Develop methodologies for developing baselines, monitoring methods and metrics for other environmental services. (NAMA)**





## *Outcome 1.*

### *Strategic activities to mitigation and adaptation to climate change.*

Chili has begun different actions for the **analysis of drivers** for the entire national territory. Preliminary Information.

1. Research academic and experience institutional. (National)
2. Study for identify drivers, strategic activities, indicators, costs and targets, and others. (FCPF Readiness)
3. Workshops SESA. (FCPF Readiness)
4. Pilot projects that integrate these elements and provide synergies with other environmental components associated with vegetation resources to strengthen adaptation measures (e.g. water, soil, biodiversity).





## *Outcome 1.*

*Strategic activities to mitigation and adaptation to climate change.*

**RL and metrics  
for carbon  
and others  
enviromental  
services**

- **4 Pilot Projects to Reduce Forest Degradation through Sustainable Use of Forest Biomass. (FCPF Readiness).**
- **2 Pilot Projects to Reduce Emissions by Preventive Forestry against Forest Fire. (NAMA).**
- **2 Pilot Projects on Native Forest Restoration associated with Catchment Area or Watershed. (Targeted Support UNREDD).**





## Outcome 1.

*Pilot Projects on Native Forest Restoration associated with Catchment Area or Watershed. Targeted Support UNREDD Programme.*

1. It will define a **Forest Environmental Fund (FEF)** as a tool for financial architecture under the ENCCRV for designed relevant operative elements for benefits sharing system, result-based payment, and a inversion flow mechanism.
2. This financial architecture associated with the **reduction of emissions and increase catches**, will have the other aim of maintaining and **increasing** the provision of ecosystem services with emphasis contemplated water and soil.
3. Is a opportunity because was nominated the **Ministry of Finance as Designated National Agency for GCF**, and this FEF could allow formally link the REDD+ with the GCF.
4. To advance its national development, its implementation needs to be expanded to other strategic activities, larger scales, different ecosystems, and other elements.



# ENCCRV



## Financing of ENCCRV

| Support                    | USD           | 1. Strategic activities to mitigation and adaptation | 2. Accounting and metrics of environmental services | 3. Social and environmental safeguards | 4. Requirements of the Conventions, international certification | 5. Diffusion | 6. Capacity Building | 7. Institutional Arrangements |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>FCPF Carbon Fund</b>    | \$ 650,000    |  | X   | X                                      | X   |              |                      | X                             |
| <b>FCPF Readiness Fund</b> | \$ 3,800,000  | X  |   | X                                      | X   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>NAMA</b>                | \$ 1,800,000  | X  | X   |  | X   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>GEF LSM Project</b>     | \$ 5,800,000  | X  |   | X                                      |   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>BID</b>                 | \$ 180,000    |  | X   |  |   |              | X                    |                               |
| <b>Chile México Fund</b>   | \$ 246,000    |  |   |  |   | X            | X                    |                               |
| <b>PNUMA</b>               | \$ 50,000     |  |   |  | X   |              |                      |                               |
| <b>Barrick Zaldivar</b>    | \$ 137,500    |  | X   |  |   |              |                      |                               |
| <b>UN REDD (TS)</b>        | \$ 560,000    | X  |   | X                                      | X   | X            | X                    | X                             |
| <b>TOTAL</b>               | \$ 13,223,500 |  |   |  |   |              |                      |                               |

**UN-REDD can expand the impact of TS, and support the development of other strategic activities under the Outcome 1 Strategic activities to mitigation and adaptation.**



# SUPPORT REQUESTED UN-REDD TO COLLABORATE WITH THE ENCCRV





# SUPPORT REQUESTED UN-REDD TO COLLABORATE WITH THE ENCCRV



1. The support of UN REDD Programme **can contribute to the transition stage between Readiness Phase and Implementation Phase. How?**
2. Prove not only actions in the field for generating emission reductions or catches, **also prove the design of support systems.**
3. Obtain **information and results for the implementation** through strategic activities (impact yields, real costs, performance, technical needs, etc.).
4. **Adjust of Management Protocol** for field action and support systems in different scales and sceneries, and the ENCCRV.
5. Observe **the dynamic, relationship and role of communities** in the context of safeguards.
6. Defined based on evidence, **information and results required by the political authorities** to articulate policy and budgetary implementation phase.



# SUPPORT REQUESTED UN-REDD TO COLLABORATE WITH THE ENCCRV



## General application:

- During 36 months.
- Implements 10 pilot with the methodological and results of FAF.
- Extend the range of activities, scale and ecosystem types, and prove the systems with effective payments.
- Carry out a Field trip to see results with other countries in UN REDD Programme.

| GENERAL BUDGET     |  |                    |
|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| Fund               | Activities                               | USD                |
| National Programme | 10 Pilots Forest Environmental Fund      | \$3.200.000        |
|                    | Knowledge Management                     | \$ 200.000         |
|                    | Field Trip for country UN REDD Programme | \$ 100.000         |
|                    | Institutional Arrangements               | \$ 200.000         |
|                    | Operational Cost                         | \$ 300.000         |
| <b>Total</b>       |  | <b>\$4.000.000</b> |



*Thanks*



**CONAF**  
Ministerio de  
Agricultura

Gobierno de Chile

**Oswaldo Quintanilla**

Unidad de Cambio Climático y Servicios Ambientales (UCCSA)  
Ingeniero Forestal, Master en Ética y Desarrollo Humano y Diplomado en Gestión Pública  
Gerencia de Desarrollo y Fomento Forestal (GEDEFF)  
Corporación Nacional Forestal (CONAF)  
[osvaldo.quintanilla@conaf.cl](mailto:osvaldo.quintanilla@conaf.cl)